

**REMARKS**

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2003/0009573 to Wang et al. (hereinafter “Wang”).

Applicants submit that claim 1 is patentable because Wang fails to disclose each and every element of claim 1. For example, claim 1 recites, in part, that an integrated user interface is: 1) generated based on neutral user interfaces of devices residing on a home network and 2) converted into a specific user interface suitable for a specific client of a user.

In the Response filed November 14, 2007, Applicants asserted that Wang does not teach the above features of claim 1. Instead, Wang only discloses that the network top-level GUI 1054 is generated to be displayed on the remote access device 1052 (paragraph 274).

In the “Response to Applicants’ Arguments” section of the Final Office Action dated February 4, 2008, the Examiner responded to the above argument by asserting that the above recitation of claim 1 is taught. In support of this assertion, the Examiner reproduced paragraph 287 of Wang which discloses that “different remote access devices 1052 may have different versions of home network directory page 1054, and customized remote home network interfaces. For example, a hand-held device 1052 with low resolution may use a text only version, while a high-end PC may have a complex graphics interface. These customized HN directories (e.g., home network top level GUI 1054, Home Network Directory Page) can be accommodated using XSL, or the gateway device 702 may generate different versions.” (emphasis added)

In the Advisory Action dated April 15, 2008, the Examiner merely repeats the “Response to Applicants’ Arguments” set forth in the Final Office Action dated February 4, 2008 without any further arguments. Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner at least for the following reasons.

Wang’s disclosure of generating different versions of a top level GUI does not disclose the claimed generating and converting of an integrated user interface. Wang does not teach that the home network top level GUI 1054 is changed into another form. Instead, Wang discloses that a version of the home network top level GUI 1054 is initially created by gateway device 702 depending on the capabilities of hand-held device 1052. No further conversion of this created version of the top-level GUI 1054 from any other previously created version is taught, suggested, or even contemplated by Wang.

In other words, Wang discloses a single action of creating a text only version. Wang is silent about any secondary operation of converting the text only version from any other top-level GUI. Thus, Wang does not teach that an integrated user interface is generated based on neutral user interfaces of devices residing on a home network and converted into a specific user interface suitable for a specific client of a user, as recited in claim 1.

Because Wang does not teach all of the features of claim 1, Applicants submit that the claim is not anticipated by Wang. Applicants also submit that claims 2-5 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependency on claim 1.

Each of independent claims 6, 7, 10, 14, and 17 recite features similar to those discussed above in conjunction with claim 1. Thus, Applicants submit that these claims are patentable at least for reasons analogous to those discussed above regarding claim 1.

Applicants also submit that claims 8-9, 11-13, 15-16, and 18-20 are patentable at least by virtue of their dependency on one of claims 6, 7, 10, 14, and 17.

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

/ S. Stuart Lee /

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC  
Telephone: (202) 293-7060  
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

**23373**

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: July 7, 2008

---

S. Stuart Lee  
Registration No. 61,124